Medicaid Landscape Analysis: Medical Assistance Program Oversight Council (MAPOC) Meeting



December 13, 2024







	2.
	3.
Agenda	4.

NT OF

Current State Analysis – What CT Medicaid is Doing Well 1

. Current State Analysis – Areas of Opportunity

Managed Care Analysis – What the Evidence Says

Recommendations – CT Medicaid's Path Forward



Current State Analysis – What CT Medicaid is Doing Well



Project Approach

The Accenture and Manatt project team:

- Conducted an expedited evaluation of available data on Connecticut (CT) Medicaid's current performance
- Analyzed the value Medicaid managed care could bring to the program
- Based on findings, identified key areas of opportunity for DSS to explore

Current State: What CT Medicaid Is Doing Well



The analysis leveraged state and federal Medicaid data, industry research and enrollee/provider feedback.



CT Medicaid per member per month (PMPM) expenditures are lower than other Northeastern states...



Notes:

- CT Medicaid PMPM was also below the Northeast average pre-pandemic.
- CT aggregate Medicaid spending as a % of the state budget is also well below that of other nearby states (22% in CT compared to 29% for other Northeastern states in FY2023).
- Citations can be found in the works cited section of the <u>final report</u>.

...and CT Medicaid PMPM cost growth since 2019 has tracked below medical inflation.



Notes:

- Other PMPM analysis prepared by the State incorporates pharmacy rebates; while figures are slightly different between these two analyses, the overall trend is similar.
- 2020 data not available.
- Citations can be found in the works cited section of the <u>final report</u>.



CT's Medicaid administrative costs are substantially below estimates in managed care states, after accounting for both state and managed care organization (MCO) administrative spending. Connecticut should consider the ongoing administrative costs to operate and oversee comprehensive managed care and whether savings on service costs (see <u>Managed Care Analysis</u>) would offset the additional spending.

- If CT's total administrative spending equaled the managed care state average administrative spending could more than double.
- Estimates include ongoing administrative costs only and do not account for (1) potential offsetting managed care medical cost saving or (2) start-up costs for initial program development and implementation.





Current State Analysis – Areas of Opportunity

Current State: CT Medicaid Areas of Opportunity / Further Exploration

Below average and declining **enrollee experience** ratings

EPARTMENT OF

SOCIAL SERVICES

compared to national benchmarks



Declining performance on select **behavioral health** measures

compared to CT historical and national performance as of 2022



Lower performance on about half of acute and chronic condition measures compared to median performance across states

See details on slide 9

Increasing prescription drug costs but below national trends High per-enrollee spending for individuals with disabilities and older adults, with average outcomes compared to Northeastern states and nationally

See details on slides 10-13



CT Medicaid performed below the median on 53% of core set measures focused on acute and chronic conditions.¹²



The comparison to median accounts for measures where a lower rate than median would be considered "better" (e.g., rate of pediatric ED visits per 1,000 beneficiary months). See Appendix Section 6c of the <u>final report</u> for more details about which measures fell below the median in MY 2022 across these domains.

Citations can be found in the works cited section of the <u>final report</u>.



CT Medicaid's per-enrollee spending on the disabled and aged eligibility groups – which disproportionately include long term services and supports (LTSS) users – is substantially higher than average compared to other Northeastern states.



Notes:

- High relative Medicaid per-enrollee spending for the Disabled and Aged eligibility groups is consistent with pre-pandemic trends. Includes total Medicaid spending for full benefit enrollees only (i.e., those receiving limited benefits, such as partial benefit dual-eligibles or those with coverage for emergency services only, are excluded). This ensures that differences in partial benefit eligibility and enrollment across states do not impact results.
- Eligibility groups are defined by MACPAC, based on claims data. The Aged eligibility groups include <u>all</u> full benefit enrollees over 65, including those who are eligible for Medicaid based on their disability status. The "new adult group" includes adults eligible under 1902(a)(10)(A)(i)(VIII) of the Social Security Act (i.e., the Medicaid expansion population).
- Per-member per-year MACPAC figures were converted to per-member per-month figures.
- FY means Fiscal Year.
- Citations can be found in the works cited section of the <u>final report</u>.



HCBS is the second largest category of CT Medicaid program <u>expenditures</u>, as measured by state share.



Notes:

In 2022, Connecticut's LTSS rebalancing—measured as HCBS users and expenditures as a percentage of total LTSS users and expenditures--ranked below the median state, suggesting additional opportunities to transition members to the community. 1915(c) waiver enrollment and expenditures were in the top quartile across states (based on 2019 data), which is higher than expected based on LTSS rebalancing ratio.

[^] CT has the second highest MSP eligibility threshold in the country (after DC) and has the greatest percentage of dualeligible members that have "limited benefits" (i.e., MSP) compared to dual-eligible members with full-benefits.^{56, 57} *Includes hospital inpatient and outpatient base and supplemental payments.

**Includes 1915(c) waivers, 1915(k) Community First Choice (CFC) services, and home health services.

Includes nursing facility, intermediate care facility for individuals with intellectual disabilities, and mental health facility. *Includes Medicare Part A and B premiums and cost sharing.

******Includes national and state drug rebate offsets.

Citations can be found in the works cited section of the final report.



Several hypotheses emerged from discussions with DSS staff. Additional analysis is required for confirmation.



Hypothesis 1 To ensure beneficiaries can access needed services in the appropriate setting, CT has a more expansive eligibility and/or service array for home and community based services (HCBS) compared to other states

Hypothesis 2 To address workforce shortages and improve access, CT has increased wages and benefits for personal care attendants (PCAs) and made other provider investments



Hypothesis 3 With HCBS waivers and Community First Choice (CFC) excluded from Administrative Services Organization (ASO) contracts, there may be care management gaps for enrollees, especially among dual-eligible beneficiaries



Managed Care Analysis – What the Evidence Says



The project team reviewed more than 50 studies to assess managed care's impact on cost, quality, and access. Many studies are state specific and—because of characteristics unique to those states—may not translate to CT Medicaid.

Medicaid Managed Care Analysis Findings



Medicaid managed care often reduces medical service costs...



...but typically increases Medicaid administrative spending.



There is **little evidence** that managed care reduces state **Medicaid costs overall**.



Evidence is mixed on managed care's impact on service access and health outcomes.



Connecticut should consider the upfront costs of implementing managed care, the ongoing administrative costs to operate and oversee the program and whether savings on service costs would offset the additional spending.



Note:*Timelines and upfront costs for implementation can vary depending on design decisions and current state of the Medicaid program.



Recommendations – CT Medicaid's Path Forward



Recommendation: Based on the potential disruption and cost of transitioning a relatively well-performing Medicaid program to managed care, DSS should explore targeted opportunities to reduce costs and improve outcomes instead of pursuing a transition to comprehensive managed care at this time.

